Document 101 Filed 07/03/25

Page 1 of 3

Case 2:24-cv-01207-JCM-BNW

4931-0500-8978, v. 1

1

Plaintiff After Services, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Foundation Partners Group, LLC ("Defendant") (together, the "Parties") hereby state as follows:

- 1. The Parties have reached an agreement to settle this case and filed a Stipulation and Proposed Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction by Consent on June 27, 2025 (ECF No. 98) (the "Proposed Final Judgment") that remains pending before the Court. In order to finalize their settlement, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate, and respectfully request the Court's permission, to stay discovery while the Court reviews the Parties' Proposed Final Judgment. In support of their joint request to stay, the Parties further state as follows:
- 2. "A court's power to stay proceedings is incidental to its inherent power to manage its docket." *Stephens v. Comenity, LLC*, 287 F. Supp. 3d 1091, 1096 (D. Nev. 2017) (citing *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254-55, 57 S. Ct. 163, 81 L. Ed. 153 (1936)). "Proceedings may be stayed 'pending resolution of independent proceedings which bear upon the case." *Id.* at 1096-97 (citing *Leyva v. Certified Grocers of California, Ltd.*, 593 F.2d 857, 863 (9th Cir. 1979)). "In determining whether a stay is appropriate, a court 'must weigh competing interests and maintain an even balance." *Id.* at 1097 (citing *Landis*, 299 U.S. at 254-55); *see also Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*, 398 F.3d 1098, 1110 (9th Cir. 2005). "These competing interests include: (1) possible damage resulting from granting a stay; (2) hardship or inequity to a party if the proceedings go forward; and (3) simplification or complication of issues, proof and questions of law from a stay." *Id.* (citing *CMAX, Inc. v. Hall*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962)).
- 3. In this case, the first factor favors the requested stay, as the Parties foresee little to no damage resulting from their request and substantial damage if the request is not granted. The Parties have finalized their settlement, but if the current schedule remains in place they will be required to complete fact and expert discovery in the next few weeks, which would entail taking certain fact depositions, the service of initial and rebuttal expert disclosures, and expert depositions.
- 4. The second factor also favors the requested stay, as, absent a stay, the Parties each face hardship from the continued burden and expense of this litigation, which has been substantial.
  - 5. The third factor is neutral as the Parties do not believe the requested stay would

28